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#### Abstract

A stereoselective total synthesis of racemic myrocin C (1) has been achieved. Initial investigations produced cyclopropane-containing $A B$ sector 22 via intramolecular ester-tethered Diels-Alder reaction of quinone 14 followed by internal alkylation of bromide 19. Although the natural product was not to be obtained through this route, the information garnered from this impetus provided the basis for a strategically improved and ultimately successful synthesis of 1. Thus, intermolecular Diels-Alder reaction of $p$-benzoquinone with cyclic diene 39 gave endo cycloadduct 41 which could be elaborated to cyclopropane precursors 52 and 99 . While a plethora of intramolecular alkylation reactions of derivatives of $\mathbf{5 2}$ failed to afford cyclopropane-containing products, a novel organolithiuminduced cyclization reaction of 99 did indeed provide key compound 96 via postulated intermediate 100 . The resultant functionality in 96 paved the way for a directed intramolecular Diels-Alder annulation of the C-ring and concomitant introduction of the remote quaternary C 13 stereocenter (cf. $\mathbf{9 6} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow \mathbf { 1 0 7 } \boldsymbol { 1 0 8 } \text { ). The tertiary hydroxyl group at } \mathrm { C } 9}$ was then introduced via epoxidation of 119 followed by overall eliminative ring-opening ( $\mathbf{1 2 3} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 2 5} \rightarrow 5$ ). The incorporation of the C6 tertiary hydroxyl group was accomplished via oxidation of the enolate derived from 6 -desoxymyrocin $C(5)$, yielding racemic 1. Studies on the bioactivation process of 5 and 1 led to support for a hypothesis which emphasized the importance of the C6 hydroxyl group in facilitating cyclopropane-ring-opening possibly through the intermediacy of quinone homomethide 134.


## Introduction

Myrocin C (1) was isolated in 1989 from the culture filtrate of a soil fungus, Myrothecium verrucaria strain no. 55, during the course of a screening program directed toward the identification of antitumor antibiotic agents (Figure 1). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{a}}$ From 30 L of fermentation broth, 40 mg of the natural product was obtained as colorless needles. The structural assignment of myrocin C was accomplished through recourse to standard spectroscopic methods and was rendered more secure through single-crystal X-ray analysis of the C6 derived acetate, 2. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ The latter was obtained by treatment of the natural product with excess acetic anhydride in pyridine. Myrocin C is thus revealed to be a pentacyclic pimarane diterpene which is structurally related to myrocin B (3), ${ }^{2}$ and LL-S491 $\beta$ (4). ${ }^{3}$

Myrocin C possesses a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity mainly against Gram-positive bacteria. Some activity against fungi and yeasts was also detected. Antitumor activity was evidenced in mice which had been infected with Ehrlich ascites carcinoma and assayed by mean survival time (test/ control (T/C)). Myrocin C (T/C = 130\%) was evaluated against the very potent agent mitomycin $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{C}=247 \%)$. Although myrocin C clearly did prolong the lives of the tumor-bearing mice, its activity is modest in comparison to that of mitomycin C.
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Figure 1.
At first glance, myrocin $C$ does not appear to be endowed with the structural markers commonly associated with DNAdamaging agents. However, in light of our past involvements in the field of activated cyclopropanes, ${ }^{4}$ we were drawn to the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 20$ triad as a potential locus of electrophilicity with appropriate bionucleophiles. Our guiding hypothesis by which myrocin C might function as a powerful bioelectrophile carries with it the prediction that deletion of the C6 hydroxyl group could diminish the bioelectrophilicity of the system. ${ }^{5}$ Accordingly, 6-desoxymyrocin $C$ (5) emerged as a desirable goal structure en route to myrocin C. ${ }^{6}$

## Results and Discussion

Early Synthetic Planning. Our first approach contemplated Diels-Alder reaction between a system of type 6 (various R groups are unspecified) and $p$-benzoquinone (Scheme 1). At a stage which would be difficult to define in advance, a leaving group would be fashioned from $\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{O}$ appearing in structure 7. Intramolecular alkylation of an enolate derived from 8 was expected to produce cyclopropane 9. The future C4 methyl group could be incorporated in the diene ( $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}$ in structure 6 ), or if $R^{\prime}$ in 6 were hydrogen, the methyl group might be
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introduced via ester enolate alkylation of a suitably modified version of structure 7.

While $p$-benzoquinones are widely used dienophiles in DielsAlder cycloadditions, ${ }^{7}$ precedents as to the quality of such reactions with electron-deficient dienes were not particularly encouraging. ${ }^{8}$ Under forcing thermal conditions needed to overcome sluggish reactivity, primary adducts of type 7 often undergo aromatization. The only promising solution to this problem was reported by Dauben and involved the use of high pressure ( 15 kbar ). ${ }^{9}$ Under these conditions, reactions occur at room temperature and satisfactory yields of adducts related to 7 are obtained. Our approach focused on intramolecular cycloaddition of a substrate such as 10 . It was anticipated that cycloadduct $\mathbf{1 1}$ could be manipulated to afford a product which would be functionally equivalent to compound 8 .

Diels-Alder Reaction: Initial Studies. Dienol 12 was prepared by known chemistry ${ }^{10}$ and coupled ${ }^{11}$ to commercially available 2,5 -dimethoxybenzoic acid (13), providing benzoate ester 14 (Scheme 2). Oxidation ${ }^{12}$ of 14 with argentic oxide ${ }^{13}$ gave rise to quinone 15 as a reasonably stable entity. However, even with the advantage of intramolecularity, cycloaddition was rather slow, producing after 5 h in refluxing toluene the cycloadduct 16 , accompanied by hydroquinone 17 . The chromatographic separation of these compounds was further complicated by the instability of the former. ${ }^{14}$ Eventually, a protocol was developed where the thermolysis mixture was directly
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Scheme 3

hydrogenated to afford fully saturated compound 18. Another improvement was realized when the product mixture from the cycloaddition of 15 was directly oxidized with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-quinone (DDQ) with a view toward returning hydroquinone 17 to the reaction stream. The crude cycloadduct was hydrogenated as above to afford a $46 \%$ overall yield of intermediate 18 from Diels-Alder precursor 14.
The stereochemical assignment advanced for cycloadduct 16 corresponds to that in which the quinone moiety was presented to the diene in an exo topography. This outcome is apparently opposite to that asserted by Auerbach and Weinreb ${ }^{15}$ in a related ester-tethered intramolecular Diels-Alder cycloaddition. Our proposal of the relative stereochemistry at the stage of 16 is consistent with the coupling constant observed between the protons at C 4 and $\mathrm{C} 5(J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{16}$ Furthermore, the $\mathrm{C} 1-$ C5 relationship in structure 16 was subsequently established in the derived cyclopropane 22 (vide infra) by crystallographic means.

Cyclopropane Installation: Intramolecular Alkylation. Following literature precedents, ${ }^{17}$ lactone 18 was converted to a mixture of bromides 19 through the action of HBr (Scheme 3). Subsequent esterification with diazomethane afforded ester 20. Attempted intramolecular cyclopropanation of 20 using potassium tert-butoxide was unsuccessful. However, when bromo acid 19 was treated with lithium methoxide in methanol, cyclopropane acid 21 was obtained. Esterification with diazomethane gave rise to cyclopropane methyl ester 22 ( $59 \%$ from lactone 18). The cis-junction stereochemistry assigned to compound 22 was in accord with its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and was further confirmed by an X-ray crystallographic structure determination.
It appeared that cis-fused isomer 22 was the preferred product relative to desired trans-fused isomer 23, even under the
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equilibrating conditions used in the cyclopropane-forming reaction. This preference can be explained by inspecting the three-dimensional representations of these structures. In isomer 23 there would be a significant steric interaction between the cyclopropane and the axial carbomethoxy group. Such a 1,3diaxial abutment is not present in the observed product 22.
The prospect of introducing the C4 methyl group in compound 22 via ester enolate alkylation in the presence of two enolizable methylene groups ( $\alpha$ to the two ketones) was daunting. Because precedents dealing with formation of a carbon-carbon bond at C 4 in related systems, via an ester enolate ${ }^{18}$ or through nucleophilic addition (on a $\Delta^{4}$-6-keto system), ${ }^{19}$ pointed to preferential attack syn to the angular C10 substituent, we elected to pursue the rather more inviting possibility of early incorporation of the future C4 methyl group in the Diels-Alder diene.

Diels-Alder Reaction: Attempted Incorporation of the C4 Methyl Group. Hydroxy methyl dienoate 24 was prepared by literature methods, ${ }^{10}$ and coupled to acid 13 , as described earlier, to afford benzoate ester 25 which after argentic oxide oxidation provided quinone 26 (Scheme 4). Unfortunately, a variety of attempts to realize intramolecular cycloaddition of 26 were unsuccessful. For instance, thermolysis of this compound in toluene in a sealed tube at $165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in no reaction. Thermolysis under more forcing conditions afforded hydroquinone 27. Many other conditions including solvent variation (1,2-dichlorobenzene, acetonitrile, ${ }^{20} \mathrm{DMSO},{ }^{20}$ and 1,2propanediol ${ }^{21}$ ), high pressures ( 12 kbar ), ${ }^{9.22}$ and additives $\left(\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}\right)^{23}$ either failed to promote any reaction or provided varying amounts of 27.

In the hope that a C 1 nitrile group might sterically outperform the carbomethoxy function in promoting Diels-Alder reaction, we set about to synthesize quinone 30. Cyano alcohol 28 was prepared by modification of the method used to prepare 24 (i.e., in the Horner-Emmons olefination, diethyl (1-cyanoethyl)phosphonate ${ }^{24}$ was used in place of the corresponding methyl ester). Coupling of $\mathbf{2 8}$ with acid $\mathbf{1 3}$ proceeded smoothly to give benzoate ester 29 which was oxidized to give desired quinone 30. Again, a variety of attempts to achieve internal cycloaddition were to no avail.

Our last effort directed toward the incorporation of the C4 methyl in the diene moiety was predicated on the hope that cycloaddition would be improved if the electron-withdrawing power of the C 1 substituent were downgraded. Accordingly, we returned to ester 24 (Scheme 5). Protection of the primary hydroxyl moiety as its tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether was followed
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by reduction of the ester with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H), benzylation of the free primary hydroxyl function, and subsequent release of the C6 primary alcohol. This sequence led to diene 31 which upon esterification with acid 13 gave rise to benzoate ester 32. However, attempted oxidative demethylation of 32 (through either argentic oxide or ceric ammonium nitrate) failed to afford desired quinone 33. The use of these reagents led to gross destruction of the system. Apparently replacement of the terminal carbomethoxy group by the less electron-deficient (benzyloxy)methyl group rendered the side chain more vulnerable to the action of such oxidizing agents. This problem was solved by the coupling of diene 31 with 5-[(2'-tetrahydropyranyl)oxy]salicylic acid (34) ${ }^{25}$ to afford benzoate ester 35. Cleavage of the THP group under mild conditions (PPTS, MeOH ) produced hydroquinone 36 which was smoothly oxidized (silver(I) oxide) ${ }^{12}$ to desired quinone 33. Unfortunately, this success in generating 33 was to no avail. Under a variety of conditions, this compound failed to undergo intramolecular cycloaddition to afford desired adduct 37 or any other obvious product.

A Revised Diels-Alder Strategy. A Diels-Alder route which would directly introduce the C 4 quaternary carbon of myrocin C, even with the advantage of intramolecularity, appeared improbable. If the general concept of a Diels-Alder route to 1 were to survive, a more astute selection of the diene component would be required. The key departure at the perception level was the use of a surrogate diene (cyclic siloxy diene 39) in the cycloaddition reaction with $p$-benzoquinone (Scheme 6). ${ }^{26}$ The electronic nature of 39 , as well as its obligatory s-cis conformation, should favor the cyclocondensation reaction with $p$-benzoquinone. After generation of cycloadduct 41 , the one-carbon fragments projecting from the eventual C 1 and C 4 positions of myrocin C would be unveiled through oxidative cleavage. In this way, the desired substitution pattern might be fashioned (cf. compound 47, vide infra).

In our hands, the enol silylation ${ }^{26}$ of 6-methyl-2-cyclohexen1 -one (38) ${ }^{27}$ to produce diene 39 proved to be no trivial matter. Various methods of enol silylation of 38 produced not only 39 but undesired isomer $40 .{ }^{28}$ Eventually a protocol which optimized among overall yield, isomer ratio, and ease of workup
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was developed. Thus, diene 39 could be isolated as a single compound after chromatographic separation from 40 (see Experimental Section). When diene 39 was reacted with $p$-benzoquinone in THF at room temperature for 5 d , endo cycloadduct 41 was isolated after silica gel purification in $94 \%$ yield as a single diastereomer. The relative stereochemistry at C1, C4, and C5 generated in this single cycloaddition step was conducive toward an advance toward myrocin $C$.

Formation of a Competent AB Ring System. We now began the process of retrieving a workable facsimile of the $A B$ sector of myrocin $C$ from adduct 41 . Ozonolysis under several sets of conditions ${ }^{29,30}$ generated extremely polar and complicated reaction mixtures. In one case, an interesting product, hemiketal 42, was isolated, albeit in low yield, after reductive workup with sodium borohydride $\left(\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}\right)$. This result could be understood by recalling the work of Clark and Heathcock ${ }^{31}$ wherein the ozonolysis of hindered tert-butyldimethylsilyl enol ethers was shown to give rise to $\alpha$-(silyloxy) ketones.

Attempts to achieve a Rubottom oxidation ${ }^{32}$ of 41 with either $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA) or peracetic acid under buffered conditions led only to the isolation of aromatized silyloxy ketone 43. Fortunately, undesired aromatization could be avoided by the use of 3,3-dimethyldioxirane (44) to yield desired silyloxy ketone 45. ${ }^{33,34}$ The very mild, neutral conditions, the nondemanding workup (evaporation), and the production of an innocuous byproduct (acetone) make this a superior method for generating otherwise labile oxidation products.

Attempted purification of $\mathbf{4 5}$ by silica gel chromatography led to the previously encountered aromatized compound 43. However, compound 45, in crude form, could be immediately reduced under Luche conditions ${ }^{35}$ to give desired hemiketal 42 in $62 \%$ overall yield for the two steps. As expected, reduction
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of the two ketone functions had occurred through hydride addition to the convex face of the bicyclic system. Subsequent trapping of the ketone by the proximal alcohol took place faster than reduction of that ketone by the borohydride reductant. Thus, simple reduction had provided access to an intermediate, 42, in which the four oxygens containing the functional groups needed to reach myrocin $C$ were all differentiated.
Selective acetylation of the allylic alcohol in hemiketal 42 proceeded without incident to provide acetate 46 (Scheme 7). Treatment of 46 with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) gave pseudodiol 47 containing a vicinal hydroxy hemiacetal. Treatment of diol 47 with sodium metaperiodate $\left(\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}\right)$ produced aldehydolactone 48. Reduction of this compound with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ gave primary alcohol 49 which was protected as its silyl ether 50. The acetate in $\mathbf{5 0}$ was cleaved under basic conditions, and the resulting allylic alcohol 51 was oxidized with pyridinium dichlorochromate (PDC) ${ }^{36}$ to give enone 52. This substrate and its derivatives were to be used in the subsequent studies to introduce the cyclopropane ring (vide infra).

Cyclopropane Installation: An Intramolecular Alkylation Approach. Given the initial indication of feasibility in establishing a cyclopropane sector by intramolecular alkylation (see $19 \rightarrow 21$ ), it seemed reasonable to attempt to advance compound 52 to a substrate which bears a potential leaving group at C 20 (myrocin numbering). Some indications of future difficulties began to surface upon attempted reaction of 52 with dibromotriphenylphosphorane $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PBr}_{2}\right)^{37}$ (Scheme 8). Instead of desired bromide 53, we obtained dihydrobenzofuran derivative 54, best characterized as methyl ester 55. Furthermore, attempted generation of mesylate 57 (via primary alcohol 56) at low temperature led only to dihydrobenzofuran 54.

Although our data do not speak directly to the order of events governing this overall transformation, an obvious sequence could be O-alkylation followed by trans-diaxial elimination of the carboxylate and concomitant aromatization. We hoped that either possibility could be countered by removal of the double bond. Accordingly, enone 52 was treated with hydrogen peroxide in alkaline methanol. There was thus isolated an $86 \%$
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Scheme 11


The possibility that the rigid lactone ring was undermining intramolecular cyclopropanation was also briefly examined. Reductive cleavage of the $\gamma$-lactonic oxygen of $\mathbf{5 2}$ was achieved with samarium(II) iodide, giving rise to carboxylic acid 68 in $66 \%$ yield ${ }^{38}$ Compound 69 was obtained by hydrogenation of $68(10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C})$. Interestingly, attempted halogenation of the silyloxy function in 69 gave rise to bridged lactone 70 instead of the expected bromide. ${ }^{39}$ Treatment of the latter with concentrated HBr gave rise to bromo acid 71 wherein the ring junction hydrogen at C 10 had undergone epimerization.

Compound 71 shares many common features with compound 19, which was a successful substrate for cyclopropanation. A difference, however, can be found in the resulting anti relationship of the C1 bromomethyl group with the C5 hydrogen in 71 versus an achievable syn relationship in 19. Attempted intramolecular alkylation reaction of 71 with potassium tertbutoxide gave rise to acid 72, and then, after esterification with diazomethane, ester 73. Again, intramolecular O-alkylation appeared to be preferred over C-alkylation.

Our last initiative to achieve cyclopropanation through enolate alkylation was via an extended enolate of an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester (Scheme 11). Dihydro compound 65 was subjected to carbethoxymethylenation under standard Horner-Emmons conditions ${ }^{40}$ to afford $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 74 which upon treatment with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PBr}_{2}$ afforded bromide 75. Exposure of this compound to lithium ethoxide-ethanol under reflux afforded a complex mixture which, upon spectroscopic examination, showed no indications of the presence of a cyclopropane. The only properly characterized product was diene 76 arising from double-bond tautomerization and elimination.

Cyclopropane Formation: A Novel Approach. Following this litany of failures to effect cyclopropanation came the development of a new strategy to achieve this end. The unifying concept was that cyclization in the desired sense might be favored if a C9-C10 double bond and a group of significant size at C 9 were already in place (Figure 2). The strategy would involve one of two possible closure types not related to enolates with their attendant O -alkylation problems. Aside from providing the relevant functional groups to promote the cyclization possibilities (vide infra), the presence of a group attached to C 9 of a $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ olefin would also tend, by allylic ( $\mathrm{A}^{1,3}$ ) strain, ${ }^{41}$ to favor an axial disposition of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OL}$ fragment ( $\mathrm{OL}=$ leaving group) mounted at C 1 .

Such a conformation (cf. 77) would allow for a useful interaction between the "backside" of C20 and the $\pi$-orbitals of the $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ olefin. The repeated failures of many of the cyclopropanation reactions could have reflected a common problem at the stereoelectronic level. Thus, in the cases of substrates which failed to undergo cyclopropanation (53, 57, 62, 64, 66, 71, and 75), C20 with its potential leaving group
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may have been substantially equatorial (cf. 78). In that case, it is poorly juxtaposed to interact with the $\pi$-system of a C9C10 enolate. In conformer 78, the limiting case, the emerging backside orbital at C20, in a projected cyclopropanation, overlaps the nodal plane of the $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ double bond.

Two alternate and less traditional cyclization modalities are summarized in constructs 79 and 81 (Scheme 12). In model 79, a nucleophile is generated at C20. This nucleophile interacts with the $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ double bond with concurrent expulsion of the C 8 -oxido bond (see arrows $\mathbf{7 9} \boldsymbol{\mathbf { 8 0 }}$ ). This perception envisioned, in essence, an intramolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ displacement of the C8-oxygen bond with an anti relationship of nucleophile and "leaving group". Although the conventional $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ reaction tends to occur with a syn relationship between attacking heteroatom and hetero leaving group, there are certainly many organometallic $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ variations, which occur in an anti relationship. ${ }^{42}$

In the alternative scenario, C20 is equipped with a leaving group which is homoallylic to the $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ double bond. The transformation of $\mathbf{8 1} \rightarrow \mathbf{8 2}$ is viewed as a homoallyl $\rightarrow$ cyclopropylcarbinyl rearrangement. If a vinyl-type group is positioned at C9 in 81, solvolysis at C12 could, alternatively, lead to 83 . Displacement products 82 or $\mathbf{8 3}$ might at some stage serve as substrates for reductive elimination (1,2- or 1,4-, respectively) of the allylic oxirane to afford a product of type 80 ( R is unspecified).

In order to test our "second generation" propositions, cisfused epoxy ketone $\mathbf{5 8}$ was converted to enol phosphate $\mathbf{8 4}{ }^{43}$
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and to vinyl triflate $\mathbf{8 5}^{\mathbf{4 4}}$ (Scheme 13). The goal with $\mathbf{8 4}$ was its conversion to an allylsilane via nickel-mediated crosscoupling with [(trimethylsilyl)methyl]magnesium chloride. ${ }^{45}$ However, only diol 86 was isolated ( $48 \%$ yield). Apparently, nickel-mediated opening of the allylic epoxide occurs faster than oxidative insertion into the enol phosphate linkage.

Several other endeavors were made to introduce a (trimethylsilyl)methyl group via triflate 85 . One of these probes involved projected cross-coupling with tris[(trimethylsilyl)methyl]aluminum mediated by tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium. ${ }^{46}$ This attempt resulted in the formation of a complex mixture. Next, two protocols were followed to generate a higher-order cuprate from [(trimethylsilyl)methyl]lithium. ${ }^{47}$ In one instance, tributyl[(trimethylsilyl)methyl]stannane was treated with methyllithium and then with cuprous cyanide and lithium chloride followed by triflate 85 . The only product isolated ( $20 \%$ ) was compound 87 apparently arising from cuprate crosscoupling with the triflate as well as cuprate-opening of the epoxide. Another effort started with reaction of tert-butyllithium with (trimethylsilyl)methyl iodide. The species thus produced was exposed to the action of cuprous cyanide followed again by triflate 85 . The only product isolated ( $17 \%$ ) in this case was tert-butyl cross-coupled product 88 .

Though the yields of $\mathbf{8 7}$ and $\mathbf{8 8}$ were far from impressive, the results had a learning benefit. First, they did demonstrate that cross-coupling of the congested vinyl triflate would be possible. Furthermore, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR examination of the resonance of the proton at $\mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{H}-1)$ over the compounds $\mathbf{8 5} \rightarrow \mathbf{8 7} \rightarrow \mathbf{8 8}$ revealed a downfield "drift", possibly suggesting greater equatorial character of $\mathrm{H}-1$ (and thus, greater axial character of the C1 substituent) as the size of the substituent at C9 increased. ${ }^{48}$

With these considerations in mind, we turned to the possibility of introducing a vinyl function at C9. Thus, diene 89 was obtained in $54 \%$ yield via Stille-like coupling ${ }^{49}$ of triflate $\mathbf{8 5}$ with vinyltributyltin under mediation of palladium(II) in the presence of excess LiCl. Under these conditions, less than 10\%
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of products derived from opening of the epoxide was observed (see compound 90 ). The liberation of the C 20 hydroxyl group from its TBS derivative under standard conditions ${ }^{50}$ was also complicated by the lability of the C8-oxido bond, this time to fluoride-induced cleavage. However, this side reaction could be completely suppressed by conducting the reaction under buffered (acetic acid) TBAF conditions, affording alcohol 91 in $96 \%$ yield. The latter could then be converted to bromide 92 through the action of triphenylphosphine-carbon tetrabromide. ${ }^{51}$

An initial attempt at reductive ring closure with samarium(II) iodide ${ }^{52}$ gave a $59 \%$ yield of 94 possibly via deoxygenated intermediate 93 (Scheme 14). ${ }^{53} \mathrm{We}$ then attempted to achieve cyclization by lithiation of the C 20 carbon-bromine bond. The reagent selected for this purpose was tert-butyllithium. We hoped to generate lithio derivative 95 which might undergo conversion of 96 . This transformation was not realized. However, a most interesting reaction did occur. Bromide 92, upon treatment with $t$-BuLi at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min afforded vinylcyclopropane 97 in $35 \%$ yield. While it would be difficult to chart a pathway to myrocin C in which 97 itself would be a useful intermediate, the capacity to produce a fused cyclopropane in the context of this ring system was most welcome. Vinylcyclopropane 97 could also be produced from tosylate 98 in $74 \%$ yield. It seems likely that 97 is elaborated by addition of tert-butyllithium to the terminal position of the diene system (possibly following electron transfer) and displacement of the C20 leaving group. ${ }^{54}$
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We then turned to the possibility of accessing a nucleophile which might eventually serve as a substrate for reductive elimination of the epoxide. With this in mind, the reaction of (trimethylstannyl)lithium ${ }^{55}$ in THF with derived mesylate 99 was studied. Remarkably, this reaction afforded a $66 \%$ yield of 96 which was the original intermediate goal system projected via 92 and 95 . Cyclopropane formation and reductive elimination of the C8-oxido bond had occurred concurrently. ${ }^{56}$ In assuming that the stannyllithium acted in analogous fashion to the alkyllithium, an intermediate such as 100 could be contemplated. System 100 would then be a prime candidate for nucleophile-induced elimination of the 1,4 -allylically related stannyl and oxido bonds.

Since it was not plausible to support this hypothesis by the isolation, or even detection, of $\mathbf{1 0 0}$, we sought to challenge the proposal by probing the credibility of alternative possibilities. For instance, it was of interest to examine the consequences of decoupling of the expulsion of a leaving group at C20 from the nucleophilic event (at C 8 or C 12 ). For this purpose we returned to compound 89 (Scheme 15). Interestingly, this compound did react with tert-butyllithium to afford C 12 addition product 101 in $66 \%$ yield without cyclization to C20. Thus, the conjugated diene appears to be sufficiently electrophilic to accept a $t$-BuLi-derived nucleophile at C 12 even in the absence of leaving group capacity at C20.

Of perhaps greater importance was the finding that 89 did react with (trimethylstannyl)lithium to afford a $66 \%$ yield, not of the related C 12 addition product but of the C 8 addition product, epoxide-opened compound 102. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ with tetrabutylammonium fluoride provided 103 and then, by tosylation, 104. This foray was of interest to us since 104 could well have been a plausible alternative intermediate to hypothesized allylstannane 100 in going from diene 98 to desired cyclopropane 96 . Thus, 104 which could have arisen by direct displacement of the allylic oxido bond of 98 might, in principle, have gone on to 96 by nucleophilic attack at the tin center (see arrows $104 \rightarrow 96$ ). In an attempt to simulate a scenario for such a process, tosylate 104 was treated with (trimethylstannyl)lithium. However, this reaction failed to provide detectable quantities of 96 . Starting material 104 was substantially recovered, accompanied by small amounts of decomposition product. We emphasize that a negative result on an alternative to our proposed sequence can hardly be construed as proving the correctness of the $98 / 99 \rightarrow[100] \rightarrow 96$ pathway. Thus far, however, we have found no evidence running counter to the proposal.

Annulation of the C-Ring. A preliminary exploration of Diels-Alder annulation of the C-ring with eiene 96 and
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dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) as a dienophile demonstrated that this idea was indeed feasible (Scheme 16). This reaction was conducted in refluxing benzene to provide a 1:1 mixture of Diels-Alder adducts (see structure 105), showing that, unfortunately, little facial discrimination was achievable.

Given the work which had been carried out in the total synthesis of forskolin by Ziegler and associates, ${ }^{57}$ an interesting solution to the problem of facial selectivity presented itself. The idea involved coupling of the dienophile to the C7 $\alpha$-hydroxyl group through a suitable tether. In the event, the selected tether for presentation of a methacrolein dienophile was an ester bond. The known ${ }^{57,58}$ acylating agent 106 was reacted with dienol 96 in the presence of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) and stoichiometric DMAP to produce, in excellent yield, ester 107. Thermolysis of 107 in benzene for 13 h provided intramolecular Diels-Alder adduct dilactone 108 as a single stereoisomer. Thus, the remote C13 quaternary stereocenter had been introduced in a completely stereoselective manner through the agency of the transient C7 stereocenter.

Given the facial selectivity imposed by the nature of the tether, and the likely predominance of endo control by the aldehyde, it was expected that the intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction would, in fact, produce adduct 108. Operating on this assumption, 108 was subjected to Wittig olefination. This reaction proceeded smoothly, with concomitant epimerization at C14, to provide a $79 \%$ yield (from Diels-Alder precursor 107) of the compound formulated as 109 . This structural assignment was amply supported by physical measurements including two-dimensional nuclear Overhauser effect experiments (NOESY) and was corroborated most convincingly by single-crystal X-ray analysis.

Synthesis of Myrocin C. While much progress had, indeed, been accomplished through the use of the acyl tether in the intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction, it would now be necessary to remove the extraneous carbon (structure 109, see arrow) which had served the useful purpose of orchestrating the stereochemistry of cycloaddition. Toward this end, dilactone 109 was reduced with DIBAL-H in methylene chloride to give a mixture of dilactols 110 (Scheme 17). Treatment of this mixture with PDC in the presence of Celite resulted in selective oxidation of the E-ring (C19) hemiacetal, providing monolactal 111. Although the origins of the regioselectivity of this oxidation are far from clear, it would appear that, in relation to the C19 hemiacetal hydroxyl group, the C21 hydroxyl group
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Scheme 18

situated in the concavity of the 6,6,5-tricyclic array is extremely hindered and, therefore, less susceptible to oxidation via the large chromium-based oxidant.

Photolysis of lactol 111 in the presence of iodine and iodobenzene diacetate $\left(\mathrm{PhI}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right)$ gave rise to a $96 \%$ yield of iodo formates 112 and 113 in a $7: 1$ ratio. ${ }^{59}$ Naively, we presumed that it would be a relatively straightforward matter to remove the iodine function at C14. In practice, treatment of 112 with triphenyltin hydride in the presence of catalytic $2,2^{\prime}$ -azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN) gave rise to compound 117 in which the vinyl group had migrated from C13 to C14. A reasonable explanation for this transformation contemplates reduction of the iodine function at C14 to give rise to homoallyl radical 114 which cyclizes into the vinyl group to generate cyclopropylcarbinyl radical 115. The latter, upon electronic reorganization, gives rise to a more stable tertiary homoallyl radical, 116, which serves as the locus for hydrogen atom abstraction. This process also appears to be stereospecific in that ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis suggests that the product is that shown in structure 117 with the C13 methyl group occupying an equatorial position.

This rearrangement could be overcome by utilization of neat tributyltin hydride $\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}\right)$ (Scheme 18). Under these condi-
(59) Freire, R.; Marrero, J. J.; Rodríguez, M. S.; Suárez, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 383-386.
tions a 5:1 ratio of desired compound 118 to undesired compound 117 was obtained from iodo formate 112. Apparently, use of the stronger hydrogen atom donor in massive excess ${ }^{60}$ serves to interdict radical 114 before it rearranges. The formate ester had undergone cleavage presumably through the agency of adventitious tin alkoxides. ${ }^{61}$

Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP)-mediated oxidation of 118 smoothly gave rise to $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ketone 119. ${ }^{62}$ Our plan of attack involved isomerization of the double bond in 119 in hopes of obtaining isomer 120 which would be used to introduce additional functionality required to reach myrocin C. While treatment of 119 with basic reagents ( $t$-BuOK or NaOMe ) led to decomposition of starting material, attempted chromatography (silica gel) led to a mixture of desired compound 120 and autooxidation product 121 (2.8:1).

If the oxidation of alcohol 118 was conducted with Jones reagent instead of DMP, the only isolable product was enedione 122. Such an oxidation may very well be involved in the biosynthesis of myrocin $B$ (3). Indeed, the possibility that myrocin B is not a natural product but is an artifact in the isolation of other intermediates containing the $\Delta^{8.9}$ linkage cannot be ruled out. Fortunately, subjection of $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ketone 119 to alkaline hydrogen peroxide in deoxygenated MeOH directly produced the desired epoxide $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ via nucleophilic epoxidation of intermediate enone $\mathbf{1 2 0}$. Overall, this compound could be obtained in $50 \%$ yield from hemiacetal lactone 111.

With compound 123 in hand, a variety of attempts were directed toward generation of a site-specific enolate, 124, in the hope that it could be used to introduce the C8-C14 double bond. Surprisingly, a range of such attempts were unsuccessful. For instance, reaction of epoxy ketone 123 with $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$, ${ }^{63}$ conducted in anticipation of generating a usable metalloenolate (cf. 124), gave rise instead to enone 120. Similar outcomes were encountered when epoxy ketone 123 was subjected to the action of lithium ammonia ${ }^{64}$ or lithium naphthalenide. ${ }^{65}$ In each case, spectroscopic examination pointed to the rapid formation of the formal dehydration product, i.e., the previously encountered enone 120.

Fortunately, an implementable solution was discovered. Reaction of epoxy ketone 123 with a Nozaki reagent ([ $p$ OMePhS)AlMe $\left.\left.{ }_{3}\right] \mathrm{Li}\right)^{66}$ gave rise to thiolate addition product 126 (Scheme 19). At first glance the success of this transformation is somewhat surprising given the tertiary nature of the leaving group at C8. However, in the enolized version of $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ (see 125) the C 8 bond is now allylic to the $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7$ double bond, and is likely rendered even more labile by possible electronic assistance from the C6 oxygen (see arrows in 125). ${ }^{67}$ Oxidation of sulfide 126 through the agency of 3,3 -dimethyldioxirane ${ }^{68}$ did not

[^14]Scheme 19

produce the sought after intermediate sulfoxide 127. Rather, in its place 6-desoxymyrocin (5) was directly produced in $55 \%$ overall yield from 123.

The installation of the C 6 hydroxyl group of myrocin C turned out to be fairly straightforward. Treatment of racemic 6-desoxymyrocin (5) with potassium tert-butoxide in tert-butyl alcohol/THF at low temperature in the presence of oxygen ${ }^{69}$ gave rise, upon workup, to a new product as indicated by TLC analysis. This product, presumably hydroperoxide 128, proved to be unstable. Treatment of the reaction mixture at this stage with triethyl phosphite afforded a new product, racemic myrocin C (1), in 68\% yield from 5.

Unfortunately, an authentic sample of naturally derived myrocin C was not available to us. Small differences in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of our presumed racemic material with those published for the natural product ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ occasioned considerable concern as to whether the object had been achieved. Suffice it to say that all doubt was subsequently removed when it was possible to crystallize (EtOAc/hexane) fully synthetic material (racemate). A single-crystal X-ray determination showed that we had indeed achieved the synthesis of racemic myrocin $C$. The minor discrepancies in the spectroscopic data with published material may reflect the consequence of differences in the measurement protocols, or might even conceivably reflect real differences arising from measurements on a homochiral material versus a racemate. The total synthesis of racemic myrocin $C$ had thus been achieved.

Investigation of a Proposal for Bioactivation of Myrocin C. With myrocin C (1) now in hand, we were ready to test our bioactivation hypothesis. The cyclopropane would appear to derive its bioelectrophilic properties from its cyclopropylcarbinyl relationship to the C 9 tertiary hydroxyl group (Scheme 20). However, closer inspection reveals that the juxtaposition of functional groups allows for a more intriguing mechanism by which the cyclopropane would be better poised for nucleophilic attack. To realize this possibility, priming would be necessary. For instance, addition of a nucleophile to C14, followed by loss of hydroxide, would produce an intermediate, 129, still containing the $\gamma$-hydroxy lactone. Ring-chain tautomerization of the latter would provide diketone $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ whose diosphenol isomer is represented as 131 . This intermediate allows activation of the cyclopropane through two distinct enone networks, and is formally related, at the conceptual level, to the CC-1065 family of antibiotic agents. ${ }^{70}$ Additional driving force for such an alkylation would be provided by aromatization of the B-ring.
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## Scheme 20



Scheme 21



This bisalkylation hypothesis clearly relies on the presence of the C6 hydroxyl group (see $\mathbf{1 2 9} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{1 3 0}$ ). Thus, 6 -desoxymyrocin $C$ (5) could not be expected to suffer comparable cyclopropane-ring rupture. It was at this point of departure that we began our studies.

In the event, treatment of 5 with thiophenol in the presence of triethylamine afforded monoadduct 133 (18:1 $\beta / \alpha$ at C14) in $74 \%$ yield (Scheme 21). The nucleophilic addition could be perceived as a direct addition in the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ sense through the C 9 allylic alcohol system or as a Michael addition followed by C9 alcohol dehydration. Exposure of compound 133 to thiophenol and triethylamine over a prolonged period of time gave no further detectable reaction.

Application of the same conditions to myrocin C (1) resulted in the rapid formation of a new compound in $63 \%$ yield. Conventional analysis revealed that catechol 135 had indeed been produced. Although the exact mechanistic pathway cannot be rigorously established, it is reasonable that an intermediate such as 134 could be involved.

Many electrophilic cyclopropanes are known bioalkylators (e.g., CC-1065, ${ }^{70}$ ptaquiloside, ${ }^{71}$ and the illudins ${ }^{72}$ ). While it is possible that a structure such as $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ could undergo cyclopropane opening, the activation barrier for such a process would be significantly higher than that for the postulated quinone homomethide 134. To our knowledge, this appears to be a most

[^16]interesting circumstance in which alkylation capacity follows from the accessibility of a doubly activated cyclopropane.

## Conclusion

In summary, the stereoselective total synthesis of racemic myrocin C (1) has been achieved in $1.1 \%$ overall yield and 29 chemical steps. The key stereochemical issues were addressed via application of [ $4+2$ ] cycloaddition strategy and from the anticipation that the rigid decalinoid framework would provide exploitable diastereofacial biases for ensuing reactions. Thus, the initial advance in the synthesis proved to be the accessibility of a suitably substituted $A B$ sector (cf. 41) in which three of seven asymmetric centers, including one of the stereogenic quaternary centers, were established and in which sufficient functionality was present for elaboration into the final product. Subsequently, in a critical step, the cyclopropane was installed via a novel organolithium-initiated reaction (see $99 \rightarrow 96$ ) which also liberated the requisite diene and C7 $\alpha$-hydroxyl group for C-ring annulation and concomitant introduction of the remote C13 quaternary stereocenter (cf. 108). Finally, stereoselective oxygenation of C 6 and C 9 provided the target structure. Following from these studies, a mechanistic hypothesis for the bioactivation process of myrocin $\mathrm{C}(1)$ was advanced.

## Experimental Section

General Methods. Unless otherwise noted, materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) were distilled from sodium/benzophenone ketyl under nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ atmosphere, benzene $(\mathrm{PhH})$, toluene ( PhMe ), and dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ were distilled from calcium hydride under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, and methanol ( MeOH ) was stored over $3 \AA$ molecular sieves at least 24 h prior to use.

Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover capillary meking point apparatus, and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1420 ratio recording spectrophotometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker WM-250 or a Bruker WM500 and are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) relative to residual $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (7.24 ppm) as an internal reference with coupling constants $(J)$ reported in hertz ( Hz ). Proton-decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WM-250 or a Bruker WM-500 and are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) relative to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ( 77 ppm ) as an internal reference.

Low-resolution electron-impact (EI) or chemical-ionization (CI) mass spectra were obtained on a Hewlett-Packard 5989A or a Kratos MS08RFA mass spectrometer at 20 eV . Low-resolution fast-atom bombardment ( FAB ) mass spectra and all high-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Kratos MS08RFA mass spectrometer. Analytical fused silica capillary GC spectra were obtained on a Hewlett-Packard 5890 Series II gas chromatograph (Supelco SPB-5 column; $30 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.25 mm ) with flame-ionization detection. Elemental analyses were determined by Robertson Laboratories, Inc., Madison, NJ 07940.

Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on E. Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates ( 0.25 mm ). Compounds which did not absorb UV light were visualized by dipping in a ceric sulfate/ammonium molybdate solution ${ }^{73}$ or in an anisaldehyde solution ${ }^{74}$ followed by heating. Liquid column chromatography was performed using forced flow ${ }^{75}$ (flash chromatography) of the indicated solvent on EM Science silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh).
tert-Butyldimethyl( 2 -methyl-1,5-cyclohexadien-1-yl)oxy]silana(39) and tert-Butyldimethyl[(2-methyl-4,6-cyclohexadien-1-yl)oxy]silane (40). To a colorless solution of 6-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one ${ }^{27}$ ( 38 ; $8.32 \mathrm{~g}, 75.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(190 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}(14.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 121 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by TBSOTf ( 22.5 $\mathrm{mL}, 98.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). This mixture was warmed to room temperature,

[^17]stirred for 15 min , and then poured into ice-cold saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(190 \mathrm{~mL}) / 1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}$ in pentane $(190 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography ( $0.01 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}$ in pentane, $2 \times$ ) to give $6.85 \mathrm{~g}(40 \%)$ of silyloxy diene 39 as a colorless liquid: TLC $R_{f} 0.41$ (pentane); GC ( $T_{\text {init }}=100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, rate $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}, T_{\text {max }}=$ $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{r}}=8.52 \mathrm{~min}$; IR (neat) $3030,2960,2950,2850,1820,1655$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.67(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 1.66 (s 3 H ), 0.94 (s, 9 H ), 0.10 (s, 6 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9$ MHz ) $\delta 142.0,126.4,125.2,112.4,28.6,25.8,23.0,18.1,16.2,-4.2$ (2); MS (FAB, thioglycerol + 1\% p-TsOH) $m / z 225\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (FAB, thioglycerol $+1 \% p$ - TsOH ) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{OSi}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 225.1675$, found 255.1682 .

A portion of undesired isomer 40 was isolated for analytical data: $\mathrm{TLC} R_{f} 0.55$ (pentane); GC ( $T_{\text {init }}=100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, rate $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}, T_{\max }=$ $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{F}}=8.08 \mathrm{~min}$; IR (neat) $3030,2950,2930,2860,1640,1580$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.76$ (ddd, $J=9.4,5.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44$ (ddq, $J=8.4,3.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.24(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.3,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.97 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.16$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 158.2,124.0,117.0,100.6$, $33.2,32.2,25.7,18.1,16.8,-4.4,-4.7$; MS (FAB, thioglycerol + $1 \% p-\mathrm{TsOH}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 225\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (FAB, thioglycerol $+1 \%$ $p-\mathrm{TsOH})$ exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{OSi}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 225.1675$, found 255.1687.
( $1 \alpha, 4 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha)$-( $\pm$ )-2-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-1,4,4a,8a-tet-rahydro-1-methyl-1,4-ethanonaphthalene-5,8-dione (41). To a colorless solution of silyloxy diene $39(11.3 \mathrm{~g}, 50.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 25 mL ) at room temperature with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $p$ benzoquinone ${ }^{76}$ ( $5.17 \mathrm{~g}, 47.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in one portion. The resulting dark red mixture was stirred for 5 d to give a bright yellow solution that was concentrated to a dark green solid and purified by flash column chromatography (1:9 EtOAc/hexane) to give 15.0 g ( $94 \%$ ) of endo cycloadduct 41 as a yellow solid: mp 96-98 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.17$ (1:9 EtOAc/hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2950, 2850, $1665,1625,1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.57(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.52-1.35(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 199.7,198.2,155.8,142.2$ (2), $100.5,54.9,51.4,41.3,36.7,36.6,25.5,25.4,19.0,17.9,-4.8,-5.0$; MS (CI, methane) $m / z 333\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 333.1887$, found 333.1905. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 68.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.49$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 68.70 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.65$.
( $\left.2 \alpha, 2 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \beta, 8 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{\alpha}, 8 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 9 R^{*}\right)$-( $\pm$ )-9-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)-oxy]-2a,3,4,5,5a,6,8a,8b-octahydro-2a-methyl-2,5-methano-2H-naph-tho[1,8-bc]furan-2,6-diol (42). To a yellow heterogeneous mixture of silyl enol ether $41(16.1 \mathrm{~g}, 48.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(24 \mathrm{~mL})$ at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was rapidly added 3,3 -dimethyldioxirane ${ }^{77}$ ( $44 ; 690 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.07 \mathrm{M}$ in acetone, 48.4 mmol ). After 30 min , this mixture was warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereupon a homogeneous solution resulted. After another 30 min , a second portion of dimethyldioxirane ( $475 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.07 \mathrm{M}$ in acetone, 33.2 mmol ) was added, and this mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , warmed slowly to room temperature over 30 min , and then concentrated to give unstable silyloxy ketone 45 as a yellow solid: TLC $R_{f} 0.24$ (1:3 EtOAc/hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2950, 2930, 2850, 1735, 1680, $1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.75(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.21(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $0.80(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}$, methane) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 349 ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

To a pale yellow solution of crude silyloxy ketone 45 in MeOH $(325 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(90.0 \mathrm{~g}, 242 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 5 min , the pale yellow homogeneous mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (mixture becomes heterogeneous), and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(3.66 \mathrm{~g}, 96.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in several portions over 1 min . This mixture was stirred for 1.5 h , quenched by

## (76) Recrystallized from hot benzene.

(77) Freshly prepared and stored over $3 \AA$ sieves overnight prior to use. See also ref 33 .
addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, warmed to room temperature, concentrated, and diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL}) / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(250$ mL ). The resulting emulsion was removed by addition of cold 1 N $\mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, the layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine ( $1 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the aqueous wash was back-extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) to give 11.5 g ( $67 \%$ ) of hemiketal 42 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 121-123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.20$ (1:3 EtOAc/hexane); IR (CDCl 3590 (s), 3420 (br), 2950, 2930, 2860, $1470 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.88-5.73(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.12(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.67 (br s, 1 H ), $1.62-1.41(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $133.1,128.7,103.3,78.0,66.2,65.1,44.0,42.2,39.1,30.6,26.3,23.9$, 20.6, 19.3, 18.5, -4.1, -4.7; MS (CI, methane) $m / z 353\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$ 353.2149, found 353.2150. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ : C, 64.73; H, 9.15. Found: C, 64.48; H, 8.87.
$\left(2 \alpha, 2 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \beta, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 9 R^{*}\right)-( \pm)-9-[($ tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)-oxy]-2a,3,4,5,5a,6,8a,8b-octahydro-2a-methyl-2,5-methano-2H-naphtho 1,8 -bc]furan-2,6-diol 6-Acetate (46). To a colorless solution of hemiketal $42(17.7 \mathrm{~g}, 50.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMAP ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 2.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(10.5$ $\mathrm{mL}, 75.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 65.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. This pale yellow solution was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500$ mL ), washed sequentially with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(2 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(1 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography (1:7 $\rightarrow 1: 5 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $)$ to give $19.2 \mathrm{~g}(97 \%)$ of acetate 46 as a pale yellow oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.15$ (1:7 EtOAc/hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 3440 (br), 2950, 2930, 2860, 1725, $1465 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 5.92(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.3,4.2,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,0.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.38 (ddd, $J=7.8,4.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20$ (dd, $J=7.8,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.77(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-$ $1.36(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 170.1,129.6,128.5$, $102.8,76.0,68.9,64.3,43.6,41.4,35.0,31.3,25.8,23.2,21.0,19.8$, 18.7, 18.1, -4.7, -5.2; MS (CI, methane) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 395\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 395.2254$, found 395.2268. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 63.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.68$. Found: C, 63.75; H, 8.51.
$\left(2 \alpha, 2 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \beta, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 9 R^{*}\right)-( \pm)-2 \mathrm{a}, 3,4,5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,8 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{~b}-$ Octahy-dro-2a-methyl-2,5-methano- $2 H$-naphtho[1,8-bc]furan-2,6,9-triol 6Acetate (47). To a pale yellow solution of silyl ether 46 (19.2 g, 48.7 mmol ) in THF ( 270 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added glacial AcOH ( $3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 53.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by TBAF ( $53.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ M in THF, 53.5 mmol ). After 30 min , this mixture was concentrated to a bright yellow oil that was purified by flash column chromatography ( $1: 1 \rightarrow 2: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to give $12.5 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$ of diol 47 as a white solid: mp $169-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.31(2: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $)$; IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 3600 (s), 3400 (br), $2930,1725,1370 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 5.86$ (ddd, $J=10.3,3.9,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.83(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.38$ (c, 2 H ), $1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 170.4,129.4,128.5,103.5,73.3,68.9,64.6,43.4,41.6,35.2,30.7$, 23.1, 21.0, 19.9, 18.6; MS (CI, methane) $m / z 281\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$281.1389. found 281.1404. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 64.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.19$. Found: C, 63.99; H, 7.18.
(2a $\alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \beta, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)-( \pm)-6$-(Acetyloxy)-5-[[(tert-butyldimethylsily1) oxy]methyl]-2a,3,4,5,5a,6,8a,8b-octahydro-2a-methyl-2Hnaphtho $[1,8-b c]$ furan-2-one (50). To a colorless solution of diol 47 ( $12.5 \mathrm{~g}, 44.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(200 \mathrm{~mL}) / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature with stirring was added $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(14.3 \mathrm{~g}, 66.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in one portion. After a few minutes, a white flocculent solid appeared. This mixture was stirred well for 1 h and then diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ )
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated to give aldehyde lactone 48 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 100-102^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.24(2: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2930, 1760, 1730, 1720, $1455 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,3.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.13 (ddd, $J=9.9,4.7,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{t}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 4.95 (ddd, $J=6.7,4.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.47$ (c, 2 H ), 2.21 (ddd, $J=13.8,5.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.94 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.6,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 202.0,179.4,170.0,135.3,126.2,69.8$, $68.0,45.9,43.7,41.0,32.5,29.3,26.3,21.0,17.2 ; \mathrm{MS}$ (CI, methane) $m / z 279\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS ( CI , isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 279.1233$, found 279.1235 .

To a colorless solution of crude aldehyde lactone 48 in $\mathrm{MeOH}(300$ $\mathrm{mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(1.68 \mathrm{~g}, 44.5$ mmol ). This mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, concentrated, diluted with half-saturated brine ( 100 mL ), and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \times$ $125 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated to give primary alcohol 49 as a white solid. A portion was removed and purified by flash column chromatography ( $2: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) for analytical data: mp $129-131{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.17(2: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 3600 (s), 3490 (br), 2960, 2920, 2870, 1760, 1730, $1455 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.12(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4$, $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.3,6.0,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.40(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 180.1,170.3,136.6,127.9,70.6$, $66.2,64.6,43.5,41.4,39.3,33.3,31.7,27.5,21.5,21.2$; MS (CI, methane) $m / z 281\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$281.1389, found 281.1399. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 64.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.19$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 64.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.20$.

To a colorless solution of crude alcohol 49 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(220 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(7.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 57.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by TBSOTf ( $11.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 48.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). This pale yellow solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then poured into cold saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL}) / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (1 $\times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and brine $(1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography $(1: 6 \rightarrow 1: 4$ EtOAc/hexane) to give $17.4 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of silyl ether $\mathbf{5 0}$ as a pale yellow oil that solidifies slowly upon standing: $\mathrm{mp} 67-68{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.19$ (1:4 EtOAc/hexane); $\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2950,2920,2850,1760,1725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24$ (dd, $J=9.4,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.42(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.30$ (c, 3 H ), $1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 180.0,170.1,136.8,128.1,70.6,66.1$, $64.8,43.5,41.6,40.0,33.5,32.0,27.7,25.8,21.5,21.2,18.1,-5.5$, -5.6 ; MS (CI, methane) $m / z 395\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI. isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 395.2254$, found 395.2260. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 63.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.68$. Found: C, $63.79 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.82 .
(2a $, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)-( \pm)-5-[[($ tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]methyl]-3,4,5,5a,8a,8b-hexahydro-2a-methyl-2H-naphtho 1,8 -bc ]furan-2,6( $\mathbf{2} \mathrm{a} H$ )-dione (52). To a colorless solution of acetate $50(17.4 \mathrm{~g}, 44.1$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(220 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{NaOMe}(1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 25 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 8.83 \mathrm{mmol})$. This mixture was stirred for 24 h and then quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for an additional 15 min , this heterogeneous mixture was concentrated, diluted with brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine $(1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous wash was back-extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were combined, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and evaporated to give allylic alcohol 51 as a colorless oil. A portion was removed and purified by flash column chromatography ( $1: 2 \rightarrow 1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $)$ to provide a white solid for analytical data: $\mathrm{mp} 90-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.26(1: 2 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 3370 (br), 2950, 2930, 2860, $1760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \cdot \delta 6.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93$ (ddd, $J=$ $10.0,4.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{t}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.3,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.73$ (c, 2 H$), 1.49(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.7,4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 180.7,140.4,122.7,70.9,66.7,65.7,44.4$, $42.2,34.0,34.0$ (2), 29.3, 25.8, 25.5, 22.1, 18.1, $-5.4,-5.7$; MS (CI, methane) $m / z 353\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$353.2149, found 353.2141. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 64.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.15$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 64.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.14$.

To a colorless solution of crude allylic alcohol 51 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 285 mL ) at room temperature with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added Celite followed by $\operatorname{PDC}^{36}(48.2 \mathrm{~g}, 128 \mathrm{mmol})$. This dark brown heterogeneous mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h and then filtered through a pad of Celite, washing thoroughly with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography ( $1: 4$ $\rightarrow 1: 3 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $)$ to give $12.9 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%)$ of enone 52 as a white solid: mp $87-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.18$ (1:4 EtOAc/hexane); IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $2950,2930,2850,1765,1680,1470 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ) $\delta 6.72$ (dd, $J=10.3,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.28 (ddd, $J=9.2,3.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.77 (dd, $J=9.7,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{t}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.9,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.60(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.22(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $62.9 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 197.8,180.4,141.4,131.4,71.8,65.7,45.4,41.6,40.2$, $38.2,27.0,26.4,25.9,18.5,18.3,-5.4$ (2); MS (CI, methane) $m / z 351$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 351.1992$, found 351.1975. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ : C, $65.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.62$. Found: C, $64.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.42$.
(2a $\alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 7 \mathrm{c} \alpha)-( \pm)-5-[[($ tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)-oxy]methyl]-3,4,5,5a,6a,7a,7b,7c-octahydro-2a-methyl-2H-oxireno[2,3]naphtho $[1,8-b c]$ furan- $2,6(2 \mathrm{a} H)$-dione (58) and ( $2 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta$,$6 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 7 \mathrm{c} \alpha)-( \pm)-5-[[($ tert - Butyldimethylsilyl) oxy]methyl]-3,4,5,5a,6a,7a,7b,7c-octahydro-2a-methyl-2H-oxireno[2,3]naphtho[1,8$b c$ ]furan-2,6(2aH)-dione (59). To a white heterogeneous mixture of enone $52(8.48 \mathrm{~g}, 24.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(180 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added a premixed solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(7.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 30$ wt $\%$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 72.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(4.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise slowly over 20 min . This mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and at $-48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , quenched by addition of glacial AcOH ( $832 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 14.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), warmed to room temperature, and diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(90 \mathrm{~mL})$. Upon concentration, the residue was resuspended with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(1 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography (1:7 EtOAc/hexane) to give $7.25 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%)$ of cis-epoxy ketone 58 and 0.70 g ( $8 \%$ ) of trans-epoxy ketone 59 , both as white solids. Data for 58: mp $118-119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.34$ (1:2 EtOAc/ hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2950, 2930, 2850, 1775, 1720, $1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.13$ (dd, $J=6.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.92 (dd, $J=4.4,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 (dd, $J=9.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.63 (dd, $J=$ $9.7,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.28$ (c, 4 H$), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),-0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 205.6,178.4,72.5,63.8,59.2,55.0$, $47.9,43.3,38.8,37.5,32.2,26.5,25.8,20.3,18.1,-5.5$ (2); MS (CI, methane) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 367\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 367.1941$, found 367.1945. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 62.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.26$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 62.08 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.99$.

Data for 59: $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{131-132}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.44$ (1:2 EtOAc/hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2950, 2930, 2850, 1775, 1725, $1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.35$ (c, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),-0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 200.1,178.6,72.2,64.2,54.2,51.1,45.4$, $44.9,43.1,34.7,30.1,25.8,24.3,22.3,18.2,-5.6$ (2); MS (CI, methane) $m / z 367\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for
$\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$367.1941, found 367.1948. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{C}, 62.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.26$. Found: C, 62.06; $\mathrm{H}, 8.33$.
(2a $\alpha, 5 \beta, 6 a \beta, 7 a \beta, 7 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 7 \mathrm{c} \alpha)$-( $\pm$ )-5-[[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-methyll-2a,3,4,5,6a,7a,7b,7c-octahydro-2a-methyl- 2 -oxo- 2 H -oxireno-[2,3]naphtho[1,8-bc]furan-6-yl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (85). To a tan-yellow heterogeneous mixture of cis-epoxy ketone $58(6.57 \mathrm{~g}$, $17.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PhNTf}_{2}(8.34 \mathrm{~g}, 23.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 93 mL ) at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{NaHMDS}(26.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 26.9 mmol ). The resulting brown-orange homogeneous solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, warmed to room temperature, diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times$ 100 mL ) and brine ( $1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography ( $1: 10 \rightarrow 1: 8$ EtOAc/ hexane) to give $7.28 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%)$ of vinyl triflate 85 as a white solid: mp $140-141{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.27$ (1:3 EtOAc/hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2950, 2930, $2850,1780,1420,1225 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.08$ (dd, $J=6.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.96 (dd, $J=10.0,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.88 (dd, $J=4.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{t}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.45$ (c, 2 H ), 1.41 (s, 3 H ), 0.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.02 (s, 6 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 178.2,137.7,129.3,118.3$ (q, $J=$ 320 Hz ), 71.0, 61.6, 52.9, 49.3, 45.4, 45.2, 41.0, 29.8, 25.8. 25.0, 23.2, 18.1, -5.6 (2); MS (CI, methane) $m / z 499\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$); HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SSi}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 499.1434$, found 499.1425. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SSi}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 48.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.86$. Found: C, 48.17; H, 5.74.
(2a⿱人, 5 $\mathbf{5 , 6 a \beta , 7 a \beta , 7 b \alpha , 7 c \alpha ) - ( \pm ) - 5 - [ [ ( t e r t - B u t y l d i m e t h y l s i l y l ) o x y ] - ~}$ methyl]-6-ethenyl-2a,3,4,5,6a,7a,7b,7c-octahydro-2a-methyl-2Hoxireno $[2,3]$ naphtho $[1,8-b c]$ furan-2-one (89). To a colorless mixture of vinyl triflate 85 ( $3.91 \mathrm{~g}, 7.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), vinyltributyltin ( $4.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.7$ mmol ), and $\mathrm{LiCl}\left(999 \mathrm{mg}, 23.6 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, dried at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under high vacuum for 24 h ) in THF ( 78 mL ) with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right.$ ( $550 \mathrm{mg}, 785 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The resulting yellow solution was stirred at reflux for 3.5 h , cooled to room temperature, diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography ( 1 : $10 \rightarrow 1: 8 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane, 2 x ) to give $1.59 \mathrm{~g}(54 \%)$ of diene 89 as a white solid: mp $108-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.34$ ( $1: 3$ EtOAc/hexane); IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2950,2930,2850,1770,1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5$, $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{t}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.07 (m, 1 H ), 1.89 (ddd, $J=13.3,7.8,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.58-1.39(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) 180.1, 133.9 (2), 127.1, 114.8, $72.5,62.4$, $50.6,48.7,44.2,43.7,39.2,27.0,25.8,25.2,20.6,18.1,-5.4,-5.5$; MS (CI, methane) m/z $377\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$); HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 377.2149$, found 377.2136. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{C}, 66.98 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.56$. Found: C, 66.70; $\mathrm{H}, 8.48$.
( $2 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \beta, 6 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 7 \mathrm{c} \alpha$ )-( $\pm$ )-6-Etheny1-2a,3,4,5,6a,7a,7b,7c-oc-tahydro-5-(hydroxymethyl)-2a-methyl-2H-oxireno[2,3]naphtho[1,8-bc]furan-2-one ( 91 ). To a pale yellow solution of silyl ether 89 (1.59 $\mathrm{g}, 4.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 42 mL ) with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added glacial AcOH ( $290 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by TBAF ( 10.6 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 10.6 mmol ). The resulting yellow solution was stirred with slow warming to room temperature over 1 h and then at room temperature for an additional 3 h , concentrated, and purified by flash column chromatography ( $2: 3 \rightarrow 3: 2 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane ) to give 1.06 $\mathrm{g}(96 \%)$ of dienyl alcohol 91 as a white foam: $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{124-126}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.18$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexane); $\mathbb{R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3600$ (s), 3490 (br), 2920, $2880,1770,1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.72$ (dd, $J=$ $17.6,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,4.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.53(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 180.1,133.7,133.5,127.2,115.4$, $72.5,62.5,50.8,48.9,44.4,44.0,39.6,27.5,25.2,21.2$; MS (CI,
methane) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 263\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 263.1284$, found 263.1283. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 68.68; H, 6.92. Found: C, 68.78; H, 6.85.
(2a $\alpha, 5 \beta, 6 a \beta, 7 a \beta, 7 b \alpha, 7 c \alpha)$-( $\pm$ )-6-Ethenyl-2a,3,4,5,6a,7a,7b,7c-oc-tahydro-5-[[(methylsulfonyl)oxy]methyl]-2a-methyl-2H-oxireno $[2,3]$ naphtho[ $1,8-b c]$ furan-2-one (99). To a pale yellow solution of dienyl alcohol $91(1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 4.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP ( $49.3 \mathrm{mg}, 405 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(27 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 1.1 $\mathrm{mL}, 9.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by $\mathrm{MsCl}(467 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.07 \mathrm{mmol})$. This mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 15 mL ), and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography ( $1: 1 \rightarrow 3: 2 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to give $1.32 \mathrm{~g}(96 \%)$ of dienyl mesylate 99 as a white foam/oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.28$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexane); $\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2960,2930,1770,1360,1180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 6.70(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.5,11.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $5.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13$ (dt, $J=5.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.73-1.57(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $179.6,132.9,130.6,128.8,117.0,72.2,68.1,50.4,48.4,44.0,43.3$, 37.3, 36.5, 26.7, 24.9, 20.8; MS (CI, isobutane) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 341\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$ 341.1060, found 341.1054.
(2ac,4ac,5aR ${ }^{*}, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \mathrm{~b} \alpha$ )-( $\pm$ )-6-Ethenyl-2a,3,4,4a,5,8,8a,8b-oc-tahydro-8-hydroxy-2a-methyl- $2 \boldsymbol{H}$-cyclopropa[4a,5]naphtho $[1,8-b c]$ -furan-2-one (96). To a colorless solution of dienyl mesylate 99 ( 1.32 $\mathrm{g}, 3.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 26 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SnLi}^{35}$ ( $8.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in Et $t_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}, 4.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 5 min , a second aliquot of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SnLi}\left(8.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}, 4.27$ mmol ) was added. This pale yellow homogeneous solution was stirred for another 5 min , quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( 10 mL ), warmed to room temperature, and extracted with EtOAc (4 $\times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography ( $1: 3$ $\rightarrow 1: 2$ EtOAc/hexane) to give $635 \mathrm{mg}(66 \%)$ of cyclopropyl dienol 96 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 101-103^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.42$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 3590 (s), 3450 (br), 2960, 2930, 2860, $1760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.78(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 183.0,143.3,132.5$, 127.4, 115.9, 86.7, 72.0, 44.4, 40.1, 26.5, 26.4, 20.4, 18.6, 14.4, 8.3; MS (EI) m/z $246\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$246.1256, found 246.1252. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 73.15; H, 7.37. Found: C, 72.96; H, 7.37.
(2a $\alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 8 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 8 \mathrm{~b} \alpha$ )-( $\pm$ )-6-Ethenyl-2a,3,4,4a,5,8,8a,8b-oc-tahydro-2a-methyl-2-oxo-2H-cyclopropa[4a,5]naphtho[1,8-bc]furan-8-yl ( $E$ )-3-Methyl-4-oxo-2-butenoate (107). To a colorless solution of dienol 96 ( $635 \mathrm{mg}, 2.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( $E$ )-3-methyl-4-oxo-2-butenoic acid ${ }^{577.58}$ ( $\mathbf{1 0 6} ; 441 \mathrm{mg}, 3.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMAP ( $315 \mathrm{mg}, 2.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added DCC ( $3.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 3.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). This turbid mixture was immediately warmed to room temperature, and after 35 min , additional DMAP ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 861 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), butenoic acid 106 ( $147 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DCC ( $1.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The resulting brown mixture was stirred for 25 min , diluted with EtOAc ( 15 mL ), and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography ( $1: 5 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to give 821 mg ( $93 \%$ ) of dienyl ester 107 as a pale yellow oil: TLC $R_{f} 0.26$ (1:3 EtOAc/hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2910, 2840, 1765, 1715, $1695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01$ (dd, $J=17.3,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85$ (s, 3 H ), $1.92-1.79(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.21$ (dd, $J=8.4,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 193.9,181.7,164.3,151.2,144.9,134.2$, $131.9,122.5,117.0,82.3,74.6,43.8,39.9,26.3,26.2,20.3,18.5,14.4$,
10.8, 8.4; MS (EI) m/z $342\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 342.1468$, found 342.1470 .
$\left(1 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 3 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \mathrm{~b} \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \mathrm{~b} \beta, 8 \alpha, 10 \mathrm{~b} R^{*}, 10 \mathrm{c} \alpha\right)$-( $\pm$ )-8-Ethenyl-1,1a,2,3,$3 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{~b}, 7 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, 8,9,10 \mathrm{c}$-dodecahydro-3a,8-dimethylcyclopropa[4,4a]-phenanthro[9,8-bc:10,1-b'c']difuran-4,7-dione (109). A solution of dienyl ester $107(821 \mathrm{mg}, 2.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{PhH}(48 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux with stirring under $N_{2}$ for 13 h , cooled to room temperature, and concentrated to give Diels-Alder adduct 108 as a white solid. A portion was removed and purified by flash column chromatography $\left(2.5 \rightarrow 5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ) for analytical data: mp $245-258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.20$ (1:2 EtOAc/hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2980, 2920, 1775, 1765, $1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $6.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.99 (dd, $J=8.7,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.82 (dd, $J=7.1$, $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.92-1.73 (c, 4 H$), 1.61-1.48(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.72(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 201.2,181.4,172.2,143.7,117.2,80.0,79.8$, $46.6,46.3,45.5,40.8,37.4,33.4,26.5,26.4,18.5,17.0,13.5,9.8 ; \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) $m / z 342\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ 342.1468 , found 342.1457 .

To a white heterogeneous mixture of crude Diels-Alder adduct 108 in THF ( 12 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $(12.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 6.00 mmol ; prepared by addition of NaHMDS to $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCH}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ at room temperature, 15 min ). The resulting green mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, concentrated, diluted with half-saturated brine ( 10 mL ), and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography (1:5 EtOAc/hexane) to give 697 mg ( $85 \%$ ) of dilactone 109 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 216-217^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallized from 3:2 EtOAc/hexane); TLC $R_{f} 0.33$ (1:3 EtOAc/hexane); IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2960,2920,1770 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68$ (dd, $J=6.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $18.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.96 (dd, $J=13.9,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.89-1.68$ (c, 3 $\mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.37(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5$, $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 181.5,174.2,144.8,133.1,122.4,112.3,77.1,74.5,49.7,44.8$, $43.0,37.6,35.0,32.8,27.4,24.3,23.6,21.6,19.1,14.5,10.2 ; \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 340\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ 340.1675 , found 340.1661 .
$\left(1 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 3 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \mathrm{~b} \beta, 7 \beta, 7 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \mathrm{~b} \beta, 8 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{~b} R^{*}, 10 \mathrm{c} \alpha\right)-8$-Ethenyl-1a,2,3,-3a,5a,5b,7,7a,7b,8,9,10c-dodecahydro-7-hydroxy-3a,8-dimethylcy-clopropa[4,4a]phenanthro[9,8-bc:10,1- $b^{\prime} c^{\prime}$ ]furan-4(1H)-one (111). To a colorless solution of dilactone $109(697 \mathrm{mg}, 2.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(13.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added DIBAL-H (5.20 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{PhMe}, 5.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 10 min , the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, warmed to room temperature, and diluted with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{~mL}) / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and evaporated to give an inseparable mixture ( $4: 1$ at C19) of dilactols 110 as a white solid. A portion was removed and purified by flash column chromatography $(2 \% \rightarrow 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $1: 2$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexane}$ ) for analytical data: TLC $R_{f} 0.29$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 3580 (s), 3380 (s), 2970, $2920 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, 250 MHz ) $\delta 5.90$ (dd, $J=17.7,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-4.95(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2$ H), $3.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 2.94$ (d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.15-2.07(\mathrm{c}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.72(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.66-1.22(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2.4 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}),-0.09(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=9.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (EI) $m / z 344\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

To a white heterogeneous mixture of crude dilactols 110 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(102 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added Celite followed by PDC $^{36}(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 3.08 \mathrm{mmol})$. This dark brown mixture
was stirred for 5 h and then filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography (1:4 $\rightarrow 1: 2 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexane}$ ) to give $521 \mathrm{mg}(74 \%)$ of desired monolactol 111 and $100 \mathrm{mg}(14 \%)$ of starting dilactone 109 , both as white solids. Data for 111: mp 188-189 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.41$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexane); IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3570$ (s), 3400 (br), 2960, 2920, 1760, $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.07-4.98(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.1,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96$ (dd, $J=13.9,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.68(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.36(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-0.01(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.0,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 182.3,146.4$, $134.9,119.1,111.3,98.5,76.9,76.0,56.5,45.0,43.1,39.6,35.0,31.4$, 27.6, 26.0, 23.7, 22.3, 19.3, 14.3, 10.3; MS (EI) m/z $342\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 342.1832$, found 342.1826 .
(2a $\alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 6 \beta, 7 \alpha, 9 \mathrm{~b} R^{*}, 9 \mathrm{c} \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha$ )-( $\pm$ )-7-Ethenyl-5-(formyl-оху)-1,2,2a,4a,5,5a,6,7,8,9c,10,10a-dodecahydro-6-iodo-2a,7-dimethyl$3 H$-cyclopropa[4,4a]phenanthro[10,1-bc]furan-3-one (112) and (2a $\alpha$,4a $\left.\alpha, 5 \alpha, 5 a \beta, 6 \alpha, 7 \alpha, 9 b R^{*}, 9 \mathrm{c} \alpha, 10 a \alpha\right)$-( $\pm$ )-7-Ethenyl-5-(formyloxy)$1,2,2 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{a}, 5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6,7,8,9 \mathrm{c}, 10,10 \mathrm{a}$-dodecahydro-6-iodo-2a,7-dimethyl-3H-cyclopropa[4,4a]phenanthro[10,1-bc]furan-3-one (113). A heterogeneous purple mixture of monolactol 111 ( $521 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iodobenzene diacetate ( $506 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and iodine ( $425 \mathrm{mg}, 1.68$ mmol ) in cyclohexane ( 152 mL ) was stirred at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in the presence of a $150-\mathrm{W}$ white flood light ( 3 in . away) for 30 min. The dark purple homogeneous solution was cooled to room temperature, diluted with brine ( 20 mL ), and extracted with EtOAc (3 $\times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography ( $1: 6 \rightarrow 1: 5 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to give $601 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$ of $\beta$-iodo formate 112 as a white solid and $89.3 \mathrm{mg}(12 \%)$ of $\alpha$-iodo formate 113 as a pale yellow solid. Data for 112: mp $187-189^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; TLC $R_{f} 0.36$ (1:2 EtOAc/hexane); IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2960,2920,2850,1770,1725$, $1160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.3,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (dd, $J=3.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.95 (br d, $J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.86-1.65 (c, 3 H$), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ (dd, $J=5.4,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $-0.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 181.6,159.5,148.2,136.5,117.7,112.8,75.9$, $71.3,46.3,43.8,43.7,43.0,41.1,35.4,27.9,23.4,22.5,19.5,18.5$, 14.6, 13.6; MS (CI, isobutane) $m / z 469\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{IO}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 469.0877$, found 469.0870. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{IO}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 53.86 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.38$. Found: C , 54.09; H, 5.43. Data for 113: mp $160-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.48$ (1:2 EtOAc/hexane); IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2960,2920,1775,1720,1175 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{dt}, J=18.5,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{dt}, J=18.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.93-1.67(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.48(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.71(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 182.0,160.0,148.1,135.6,118.8,112.5,77.5$, $75.9,45.2,41.8,40.4,40.0,39.4,35.0,27.3,26.5,23.0,21.7,19.0$, 13.9, 10.1; MS (CI, isobutane) $m / z 469\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{IO}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) 469.0877$, found 469.0862.
(2a $\left.\alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \alpha, 9 \mathrm{~b} R^{*}, 9 \mathrm{c} \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha\right)$-( $\pm$ )-7-Ethenyl-1,2,2a,4a,5,$5 \mathrm{a}, 6,7,8,9 \mathrm{c}, 10,10 \mathrm{a}$-dodecahydro-5-hydroxy-2a,7-dimethyl-3H-cyclo-propa[4,4a]phenanthro[10,1-bc]furan-3-one (118) and (2a $, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \alpha$,$\left.5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 6 \alpha, 7 \alpha, 9 \mathrm{~b} R^{*}, 9 \mathrm{c} \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha\right)-( \pm)-6$-Ethenyl-1,2,2a,4a,5,5a,6,7,8,9c,10,10a-dodecahydro-5-hydroxy-2a,7-dimethyl-3H-cyclopropa[4,4a]-phenanthro[10,1-bc]furan-3-one (117). From $\beta$-Iodo Formate 112. To a cloudy solution of $\beta$-iodo formate 112 ( $251 \mathrm{mg}, 536 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in aged $^{78} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(26.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02 \mathrm{M})$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added AIBN ( $17.6 \mathrm{mg}, 107 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). After 20 min , the resulting
homogeneous solution was cooled to room temperature and purified by flash column chromatography (1:3 EtOAc/hexane, $2 \times$ ) to give 132 $\mathrm{mg}(78 \%)$ of desired $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated alcohol 118 as a white foam and 26.1 mg ( $15 \%$ ) of rearrangement product 117 as a white solid.

From $\alpha$-Iodo Formate 113. To a cloudy solution of $\alpha$-iodo formate $113(78.6 \mathrm{mg}, 168 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in aged $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(8.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02 \mathrm{M})$ at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added AIBN ( $5.6 \mathrm{mg}, 33.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). After 10 min , the resulting homogeneous solution was cooled to room temperature and purified by flash column chromatography (1:3 EtOAc/ hexane, $2 \times$ ) to give $32.0 \mathrm{mg}(61 \%)$ of desired $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated alcohol 118 as a white foant and $14.3 \mathrm{mg}(27 \%)$ of rearrangement product 117 as a white solid.

Data for 118: mp 131-133 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.29$ (1:2 EtOAc/hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 3600 (s), 3520 (s), 3490 (br), 2960, 2920, 2850, 1760, $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,10.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{t}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.52(\mathrm{c}, 5$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.35(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, buried), $0.84(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}),-0.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) ~$ $\delta 183.0,149.2,137.0,118.4,109.9,79.3,69.5,43.7,42.6,36.1,35.9$, 35.4, 34.5, 27.8, 23.5, 22.9, 22.0, 19.3, 14.1, 13.5; MS (EI) m/z 314 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 314.1883$, found 314.1874. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 76.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.33$. Found: C , 76.28; H, 8.37.

Data for 117: mp $195-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.34$ (1:2 EtOAc/ hexane); $\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3590(\mathrm{~s}), 3480$ (br), 2980, 2930, 2900, 1755, 1455 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.65(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, buried), 5.31 (dd, $J=17.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18$ (dd, $J=10.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.21-2.04 (c, 2 H ), $1.95-1.55$ (c, 5 H ), $1.53-1.32$ (c, 2 H ), 1.23 ( s , $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.62(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.26(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.2,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 182.8,137.1$, $135.1,119.6,119.3,82.4,73.8,46.1,44.3,43.2,40.6,32.7,31.2,27.6$, $26.4,22.0,19.9,19.0,13.3,10.1$; MS (EI) $m / z 314$ (M ${ }^{+}$); HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 314.1883$, found 314.1896.
(2aco,4ao $, 5 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 7 \alpha, 9 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 9 \mathrm{~b} R^{*}, 9 \mathrm{c} \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha$ )-( $\pm$ )-7-Ethenyldecahydro-2a,7-dimethyl-5a,9a-epoxy-3H-cyclopropa[4,4a]phenanthro [10,1-bc]-furan-3,5(1H)-dione (123). To a colorless solution of $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated alcohol $118(132 \mathrm{mg}, 419 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added Dess-Martin periodinane ${ }^{62}$ ( $895 \mathrm{mg}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). This white heterogeneous mixture was stirred for 10 min and then rapidly filtered through a plug of silica gel ( 10 g ), washing with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was evaporated to approximately $40 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{PhMe}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and this mixture was concentrated to give $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ketone 119 as a pale yellow solid: mp 112-115 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.45$ (1:2 EtOAc/hexane); IR (CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) 2950, 2920, 2850, 1770, 1710, $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 5.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2$, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.8,3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.71(\mathrm{c}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2$, $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 204.1,181.4,147.5$, $135.6,120.0,110.8,78.5,47.7,46.1,42.2,35.4,35.2,34.3,27.3,24.4$, 23.8, 23.2, 19.2, 15.0, 10.9; MS (EI) $m / z 312\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 312.1726$, found 312.1728 .

Argon gas was bubbled directly into a pale yellow solution of crude $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ketone 119 in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring at room temperature for 20 min when a premixed, deoxygenated solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(260 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(140 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 420 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added rapidly. The solution yellowed and then turned colorless after 10 min . This mixture was stirred for an additional 5 min , quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, concentrated, diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (4 $\times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated,

[^18]and purified by flash column chromatography (1:4 EtOAc/hexane) to give 91.5 mg ( $67 \%$ ) of epoxy ketone 123 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 200-$ $201{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.36(1: 2 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2950,2920$, 2850, 1770, 1720, $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.73$ (dd, $J=17.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.68 (dd, $J=15.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.90-1.66$ (c, 4 H ), $1.54-1.37$ (c, 5 $\mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.34 (ddd, $J=8.1,6.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $62.9 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 201.2,180.5,147.6,110.8,79.2,68.3,64.7,42.0,40.3$, $32.8,31.4,28.8,27.0,26.2,22.4,19.8,18.6$ (2), 13.8, 5.0; MS (EI) $m / z 328\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ 328.1675, found 328.1669. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 73.15 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.36. Found: C, $72.90 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.49$.
( $2 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 5 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \alpha, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \mathrm{~b} R^{*}, 9 \mathrm{c} \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha$ )-( $\pm$ )-7-Ethenyldodecahydro-9a-hydroxy-5a-[(4-methoxyphenyl)thio]-2a,7-dimethyl-3H-cyclopropa-[4,4a]phenanthro[10,1-bc]furan-3,5(1H)-dione (126). To a colorless solution of 4-methoxythiophenol ( $405 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2.4 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.55$ M in hexanes, 3.00 mmol ) followed by $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}(1.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, 3.00 mmol ). The resulting biphasic mixture was diluted with THF ( 2.7 mL ) whereupon a homogeneous colorless solution ( 0.35 M ) of the ate complex [4-MeOPhSAlMe ${ }_{3}$ ] Li was obtained. ${ }^{66}$

To a pale yellow solution of epoxy ketone $123(91.5 \mathrm{mg}, 279 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in THF ( $930 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added [4-MeOPhSAlMe ${ }_{3}$ ]Li ( $4.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.35 \mathrm{M}$ in THF/hexanes, 1.39 mmol ). The resulting yellow solution was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 1 h , diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, quenched by addition of $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and warmed to room temperature over 10 min . The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 15$ mL ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $2 \times 10$ mL ), dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography ( $1: 9 \rightarrow 1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to give 129 mg ( $99 \%$ ) of $\beta$-hydroxy sulfide 126 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 247-250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; TLC $R_{f}$ 0.35 (1:1 EtOAc/hexane); IR (CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) 3560 (s), 3450 (br), 2930, 2850, $1765,1695,1590,1490,1250,1175 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $17.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.89(\mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.67(\mathrm{c}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.37(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 194.8,181.7,160.8,150.4,139.2,120.2,114.3$, $109.6,79.5,76.8,65.3,55.2,43.5,42.3,35.3,32.6,31.3,27.0,26.4$, $24.4,23.6,22.8,19.4,12.0,9.9$; MS (EI) $m / z 468$ (M+); HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 468.1972$, found 468.1996 .
( $\pm$ )-6-Desoxymyrocin $\mathrm{C}(5)$. To a white heterogeneous mixture of $\beta$-hydroxy sulfide $126(129 \mathrm{mg}, 276 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added 3,3-dimethyldioxirane ${ }^{33}$ (44; $6.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ in acetone, $691 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The resulting homogeneous solution was stirred for 30 min , diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(6 \mathrm{~mL}) /$ saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine $(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography (1:3 $\rightarrow 2: 3$ EtOAc/hexane) to give $51.0 \mathrm{mg}(56 \%)$ of 6-desoxymyrocin $\mathrm{C}(5)$ as a white solid: mp $177-179^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.24$ ( $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane); IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3580$ (s), 3470 (br), 2950, 2920, 2850, 1760, 1690, $1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=17.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-$ $1.78(\mathrm{c}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.44(\mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.20(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 192.2,181.9,147.6$, $144.9,134.2,112.8,77.5,69.9,41.1,39.9,39.2,29.1,27.1,26.3,26.2$, 24.0, 23.9, 18.8, 13.5, 5.9; MS (EI) $m / z 328\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 328.1675$, found 328.1677.
( $\pm$ )-Myrocin $\mathbf{C}(1)$. Oxygen was bubbled through a colorless solution of 6-desoxymyrocin C (5; $26.1 \mathrm{mg}, 79.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( 1.6 $\mathrm{mL}) / t-\mathrm{BuOH}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring for 5 min , and then $t$-BuOK ( $26.7 \mathrm{mg}, 239 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added in one portion. This bright
yellow mixture was stirred for an additional 3 h , quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, warmed to room temperature, and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, redissolved in THF ( 1.6 mL ), and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this pale yellow solution with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added triethyl phosphite ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 119 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). After 10 min , this mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by flash column chromatography ( $1: 2$ EtOAc/hexane) to give 18.5 mg ( $68 \%$ ) of myrocin C (1) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}>214^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec (recrystallized from EtOAc in a hexane atmosphere); TLC $R_{f} 0.36$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexane); IR (KBr) 3430 (s), 3290 (br), 2950, 2920, 2840, 1740, 1695, $1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $17.5,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44$ (s, 1 H ), 3.35 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.92-1.83 (c, 4 H ), $1.65-1.54$ (c, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47$ (dt, $J=14.0,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{td}, J=13.9$, $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.19(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 192.8,181.9$, $149.0,144.5,134.2,112.9,99.0,70.0,44.8,41.7,39.3,29.2,28.8$ (2), 26.5, 23.9, 23.7, 18.8, 14.0, 6.3; MS (CI, isobutane) $m / z 345$ ( $\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$); HRMS (CI, isobutane) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$ 345.1702, found 345.1717 .
(2a $\left.\alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 7 \alpha, 9 \mathrm{~b} R^{*}, 9 \mathrm{c} \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha\right)$-( $\pm$ )-7-Ethenyl-2,2a,4a,6,7,8,9,9c,10,-10a-decahydro-2a,7-dimethyl-6-(phenylthio)-3H-cyclopropa[4,4a]phenanthro[ $10,1-b c]$ furan $\mathbf{3 , 5}(1 H)$-dione (133). To a colorless solution of 6-desoxymyrocin C $(\mathbf{5} ; 2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 6.10 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200$ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) at room temperature with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added thiophenol ( $3.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 30.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) followed by $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(4.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 30.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. This mixture was stirred for 15 min and then purified by pipet column chromatography ( $1: 9 \rightarrow 1: 3 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane ) to give $1.9 \mathrm{mg}(74 \%)$ of thiophenol monoadduct 133 ( $18: 1 \beta / \alpha$ mixture at C14) as a white solid: TLC $R_{f} 0.37$ (1:2 EtOAc/hexane); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2960, 2920, 2860, $1775,1670,1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}$, major isomer) $\delta$ $7.51-7.42$ (c, 2 H ), 7.22 (br s, 3 H ), 5.85 (dd, $J=17.6,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $5.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $4.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 2.16 (m, 1 H), 1.94-1.78 (c, 4 H ), 1.73 (m, 1 H ), 1.57-1.46 (c, 2 H), $1.24(3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.37$ ( dd , $J=8.3,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (EI) m/z $420\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$); HRMS (EI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 420.1760$, found 420.1750 .
$\left[1 S^{*}-(1 \beta, 4 \beta, 7 \alpha, 8 \beta)\right]-( \pm)-7$-Ethenyl-1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8-octahydro-9,10-dihydroxy-1,7-dimethyl-8-(phenylthio)-4[[(phenylthio)methyl]phenan-threne-1-carboxylic acid (135). To a colorless solution of myrocin $\mathrm{C}(1 ; 3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 10.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature with stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added thiophenol ( $10.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 102 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) followed by $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(14.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 102 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. This mixture was stirred for 14 h , quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(4 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, evaporated, and purified by pipet column chromatography ( $1: 9 \rightarrow 1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane then $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give 3.5 mg ( $63 \%$ ) of catechol 135 as a bright yellow oil: TLC $R_{f}$ $0.38\left(10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3510$ (s), 3310 (br), 2920, 2960, $1700,1440,1280 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.45-7.14$ (c, $10 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.83 (d, $J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.21 (s, 1 H ), 3.16-2.85 (c, 3 H ), 2.45 (dd, $J=17.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.38-2.18 (c, 3 H ), 1.97 (m, 1 H ), 1.831.67 (c, 2 H ), 1.48 (s, 3 H ), 1.46 (buried, 1 H ), 0.96 (s, 3 H ); MS (FAB, NOBA-NaI) $m / z 569\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$; HRMS (FAB, NOBA-NaI) exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NaO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right) 569.1798$, found 569.1821.
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